

# Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications

# Symmetric colorings of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$

Jabulani Phakathi, Yevhen Zelenyuk, Yuliya Zelenyuk\*

School of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

jabulani.phakathi@wits.ac.za, yevhen.zelenyuk@wits.ac.za, yuliya.zelenyuk@wits.ac.za

\*corresponding author

### Abstract

Let G be a finite group and let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . An *r*-coloring of G is any mapping  $\chi : G \to \{1, \ldots, r\}$ . A coloring  $\chi$  is symmetric if there is  $g \in G$  such that  $\chi(gx^{-1}g) = \chi(x)$  for every  $x \in G$ . We show that if f(r) is the polynomial representing the number of symmetric *r*-colorings of G, then the number of symmetric *r*-colorings of  $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  is  $f(r^2)$ .

*Keywords:* finite group, symmetric coloring, equivalent colorings, Möbius function, optimal partition Mathematics Subject Classification : 05A15, 20D60, 05C15, 05E18 DOI: 10.5614/ejgta.2023.11.2.3

#### 1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group and let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . An *r*-coloring of G is any mapping  $\chi : G \to \{1, \ldots, r\}$ . The group G naturally acts on its *r*-colorings. For every coloring  $\chi$  and for every  $g \in G$ , the coloring  $\chi g$  is defined by

$$\chi g(x) = \chi(xg^{-1}).$$

Colorings  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  are *equivalent* if there is  $g \in G$  such that  $\chi g = \psi$  (that is, if  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  belong to the same orbit). Let  $c_r(G)$  denote the number of equivalence classes of r-colorings of G (= the number of orbits). Applying Burnside's Lemma [1, I, §3] gives us that

$$c_r(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} r^{\frac{|G|}{|\langle g \rangle|}},$$

Received: 15 April 2022, Revised: 28 May 2023, Accepted: 11 June 2023.

where  $\langle g \rangle$  is the subgroup generated by g. For  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$ , the cyclic group of order n, this formula simplifies to

$$c_r(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) r^{\frac{n}{d}},$$

where  $\varphi$  is the Euler function [2].

For every  $g \in G$ , the symmetry on G with respect to g is the mapping

$$G \ni x \mapsto gx^{-1}g \in G.$$

This is an old notion, which can be found in the book [5]. We say that a coloring  $\chi$  of G is *symmetric* if it is invariant under some symmetry, that is, if there is  $g \in G$  such that  $\chi(gx^{-1}g) = \chi(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . A coloring equivalent to a symmetric one is also symmetric. Let  $S_r(G)$  denote the number of symmetric r-colorings of G and  $s_r(G)$  the number of equivalence classes of symmetric r-colorings of G (= the number of symmetric orbits). For every finite Abelian group G and for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$S_r(G) = \sum_{X \le G} \sum_{Y \le X} \frac{\mu(Y, X) |G/Y|}{|B(G/Y)|} r^{\frac{|G/X| + |B(G/X)|}{2}},$$
$$s_r(G) = \sum_{X \le G} \sum_{Y \le X} \frac{\mu(Y, X)}{|B(G/Y)|} r^{\frac{|G/X| + |B(G/X)|}{2}},$$

where X runs over subgroups of G, Y over subgroups of X,  $\mu(Y, X)$  is the Möbius function on the lattice of subgroups of G, and  $B(H) = \{x \in H : 2x = 0\}$  [3]. Similar but more complicated formulas hold also in the non-Abelian case [6]. For  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$  the formulas above simplify to

$$S_{r}(\mathbb{Z}_{n}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|n} d \prod_{p|\frac{n}{d}} (1-p)r^{\frac{d+1}{2}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \sum_{d|\frac{n}{2}} d \prod_{p|\frac{n}{2d}} (1-p)r^{d+1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$
$$s_{r}(\mathbb{Z}_{n}) = \begin{cases} r^{\frac{n+1}{2}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{2}(r^{\frac{n}{2}+1}+r^{\frac{m+1}{2}}), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where p is a variable of prime value and m is the greatest odd divisor of n [3]. For  $G = D_n$ , the dihedral group of order 2n, the numbers  $S_r(D_n)$  and  $s_r(D_n)$ , were computed in [7]. (See also [4].)

In [8] it was shown that, for every finite Abelian group G, if f(r) is the polynomial representing  $S_r(G)$ , that is  $S_r(G) = f(r)$ , then  $S_r(G \times \mathbb{Z}_2) = f(r^2)$ , and so, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_r(G \times \prod_n \mathbb{Z}_2) = f(r^{2^n})$ .

In this paper we extend this result to all finite groups.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G be a finite group and let f(r) be the polynomial representing  $S_r(G)$ . Then  $S_r(G \times \mathbb{Z}_2) = f(r^2)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is a combination of that from [8] and the optimal partitions method for counting  $S_r(G)$  from [6].

# 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall the main result and related notions from [6].

For every coloring  $\chi : G \to \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ , let  $[\chi]$  and  $St(\chi)$  denote the orbit and the stabilizer of  $\chi$ , that is,

$$[\chi] = \{\chi g : g \in G\} \text{ and } St(\chi) = \{g \in G : \chi g = \chi\}.$$

As in the general case of an action,

$$|[\chi]| = \frac{|G|}{|St(\chi)|}$$
 and  $St(\chi g) = g^{-1}St(\chi)g$ .

In counting  $S_r(G)$  and  $s_r(G)$  an important role is played also by the sets

 $Z(\chi) = \{g \in G : \chi \text{ is symmetric with respect to } g\},\$ 

 $[\chi]_e = \{ \psi \in [\chi] : \psi \text{ is symmetric with respect to } e \},\$ 

where e is the identity of G. The set  $Z(\chi)$  is a union of left cosets of G by  $St(\chi)$  and

$$|[\chi]_e| = \frac{|Z(\chi)|}{|St(\chi)|}$$

Similarly to colorings, these notions naturally extend to partitions of G. In particular, for every partition  $\pi$  of G,  $St(\pi)$  is the set of all  $g \in G$  such that every cell of  $\pi$  is invariant under right translation by  $g^{-1}$ , and  $Z(\pi)$  is the set of all  $g \in G$  such that every cell of  $\pi$  is invariant under symmetry with respect to g. We say that a partition  $\pi$  of G is *optimal* if  $e \in Z(\pi)$  and for every partition  $\pi'$  of G with  $St(\pi') = St(\pi)$  and  $Z(\pi') = Z(\pi)$ , one has  $\pi \leq \pi'$ . The latter means that every cell of  $\pi$  is contained in some cell of  $\pi'$ .

Let P be the set of all pairs x = (St(x), Z(x)) such that  $St(x) = St(\chi)$  and  $Z(x) = Z(\chi)$  for some coloring  $\chi$  of G symmetric with respect to e. Define the order  $\leq$  on P by

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow St(x) \subseteq St(y) \text{ and } Z(x) \subseteq Z(y).$$

For every  $x \in P$ , let  $\pi_x$  denote the finest partition of G with  $St(\pi) = St(x)$  and  $Z(\pi) = Z(x)$ . Then  $\{\pi_x : x \in P\}$  is the set of all optimal partitions of G and  $\pi_x \leq \pi_y \Leftrightarrow x \leq y$ , so  $\{\pi_x : x \in P\}$  can be identified with P.

For every partition  $\pi$ , we write  $|\pi|$  to denote the number of cells of  $\pi$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let P be the partially ordered set of optimal partitions of G. Then

$$S_{r}(G) = |G| \sum_{x \in P} \sum_{y \le x} \frac{\mu(y, x)}{|Z(y)|} r^{|x|},$$

$$s_r(G) = \sum_{x \in P} \sum_{y \le x} \frac{\mu(y, x) St(y)}{|Z(y)|} r^{|x|}$$

# 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\chi : G \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , define  $\chi_j : G \to \{1, 2, ..., r\}$  by  $\chi_j(x) = \chi(x, j)$ . Then  $\chi$  is symmetric if and only if there is  $g \in G$  such that each  $\chi_j$  is symmetric with respect to g.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\chi$  is symmetric. Then there is  $(g, i) \in G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that  $\chi((g, i)(x, j)^{-1}(g, i)) = \chi(x, j)$  for every  $(x, j) \in G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Since

$$(g,i)(x,j)^{-1}(g,i) = (g,i)(x^{-1},j)(g,i) = (gx^{-1}g,iji) = (gx^{-1}g,j),$$

we have that  $\chi(gx^{-1}g, j) = \chi(x, j)$ , so  $\chi_j(gx^{-1}g) = \chi_j(x)$ .

Conversely, suppose that there is  $g \in G$  such that each  $\chi_j$  is symmetric with respect to g. Then  $\chi$  is symmetric with respect to (g, i) for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Indeed,

$$\chi((g,i)(x,j)^{-1}(g,i)) = \chi(gx^{-1}g,j) = \chi_j(gx^{-1}g) = \chi_j(x) = \chi(x,j).$$

We shall say that a pair  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$  of colorings of G is symmetric if there is  $g \in G$  such that each  $\chi_j$  is symmetric with respect to g, that is,  $\chi_j(gx^{-1}g) = \chi_j(x)$  for each j and for every  $x \in G$ .

Clearly, the correspondence  $\chi \mapsto (\chi_0, \chi_1)$  defined in Lemma 3.1 is a bijection between the set of *r*-colorings of  $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  and the set of pairs of *r*-colorings of *G*, and by Lemma 3.1, it maps the set of symmetric *r*-colorings of  $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  onto the set of symmetric pairs of *r*-colorings of *G*. Thus, we obtain that

**Corollary 3.1.**  $S_r(G \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is equal to the number of symmetric pairs of r-colorings of G.

Define the action of G on the pairs  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$  of r-colorings of G by

$$(\chi_0,\chi_1)g = (\chi_0g,\chi_1g).$$

For every pair  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ , let  $[(\chi_0, \chi_1)]$  and  $St(\chi_0, \chi_1)$  denote the orbit and the stabilizer of  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ , that is,

$$[(\chi_0,\chi_1)] = \{(\chi_0,\chi_1)g : g \in G\} \text{ and } St(\chi_0,\chi_1) = \{g \in G : (\chi_0,\chi_1)g = (\chi_0,\chi_1)\}.$$

As in the general case of an action,

$$|[(\chi_0, \chi_1)]| = \frac{|G|}{|St(\chi_0, \chi_1)|}.$$

For every pair  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ , let  $Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)$  denote the set of all  $g \in G$  such that  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$  is symmetric with respect to g.

**Lemma 3.2.**  $Z((\chi_0, \chi_1)g) = Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)g$  for every  $g \in G$ .

*Proof.* To see that  $Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)g \subseteq Z((\chi_0, \chi_1)g)$ , let  $a \in Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ . Then for each j and for every  $x \in G$ ,

$$\chi_j g(agx^{-1}ag) = \chi_j(agx^{-1}a) = \chi_j(xg^{-1}) = \chi_j g(x)$$

Consequently,  $ag \in Z((\chi_0, \chi_1)g)$ .

Now, conversely,

$$Z((\chi_0,\chi_1)g) = Z((\chi_0,\chi_1)g)g^{-1}g \subseteq Z((\chi_0,\chi_1)gg^{-1})g = Z(\chi_0,\chi_1)g.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2, in particular, that if a pair  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$  is symmetric, then the whole orbit  $[(\chi_0, \chi_1)]$  is symmetric.

The next lemma tells us that, for every symmetric pair  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ ,  $Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)$  is a union of left cosets of G by  $St(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.**  $Z(\chi_0, \chi_1) \cdot St(\chi_0, \chi_1) = Z(\chi_0, \chi_1).$ 

*Proof.* Clearly,  $Z(\chi_0, \chi_1) \subseteq Z(\chi_0, \chi_1) \cdot St(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ . To see the converse inclusion, let  $g \in$  $Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)$  and  $h \in St(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ . Then for each j and for every  $x \in G$ ,

$$\chi_j(ghx^{-1}gh) = \chi_j h^{-1}(ghx^{-1}g) = \chi_j(ghx^{-1}g) = \chi_j(xh^{-1}) = \chi_j h(x) = \chi_j(x).$$
wently,  $gh \in Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ .

Consequently,  $gh \in Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ .

For every symmetric pair  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ , let  $[(\chi_0, \chi_1)]_e$  denote the subset of  $[(\chi_0, \chi_1)]$  consisting of all pairs symmetric with respect to e.

Lemma 3.4.  $[(\chi_0, \chi_1)]_e = \{(\chi_0, \chi_1)a^{-1} : a \in Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)\}.$ 

*Proof.* To see that  $\{(\chi_0, \chi_1)a^{-1} : a \in Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)\} \subseteq [(\chi_0, \chi_1)]_e$ , let  $a \in Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ . Then for each *j* and for every  $x \in G$ ,

$$\chi_j a^{-1}(x^{-1}) = \chi_j(x^{-1}a) = \chi_j(aa^{-1}xa) = \chi_j(xa) = \chi_j a^{-1}(x).$$

To see the converse inclusion, let  $g \in G$  and suppose that  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)g$  is symmetric with respect to e. Then for each j and for every  $x \in G$ ,

$$\chi_j(g^{-1}x^{-1}g^{-1}) = \chi_j g(g^{-1}x^{-1}) = \chi_j g(xg) = \chi_j(xgg^{-1}) = \chi_j(x).$$

Consequently,  $g^{-1} \in Z(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ .

From Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.3 we obtain that

**Corollary 3.2.** For every symmetric pair  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$ ,

$$|[(\chi_0,\chi_1)]_e| = \frac{|Z(\chi_0,\chi_1)|}{|St(\chi_0,\chi_1)|}.$$

www.ejgta.org

Now we can count  $S_r(G \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let P be the partially ordered set of optimal partitions of G. Then

$$S_r(G \times \mathbb{Z}_2) = |G| \sum_{x \in P} \sum_{y \le x} \frac{\mu(y, x)}{|Z(y)|} r^{2|x|}$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.1, we count the number of symmetric pairs of r-colorings of G. Let C denote the set of all pairs  $(\chi_0, \chi_1)$  symmetric with respect to e, and for every  $x \in P$ , let

$$C(x) = \{(\chi_0, \chi_1) \in C : St(\chi_0, \chi_1) = St(x) \text{ and } Z(\chi_0, \chi_1) = Z(x)\}.$$

Clearly,  $\{C(x) : x \in P\}$  is a partition of C. For every  $x \in P$  and  $(\chi_0, \chi_1) \in C(x)$ ,  $[(\chi_0, \chi_1)]_e = \{(\chi_0, \chi_1)a^{-1} : a \in Z(x)\}$ ,  $St((\chi_0, \chi_1)a^{-1}) = aSt(x)a^{-1}$ , and  $Z((\chi_0, \chi_1)a^{-1}) = Z(x)a^{-1}$ , so in general,  $[(\chi_0, \chi_1)]_e \notin C(x)$ . To correct this situation, define the equivalence  $\equiv$  on P by

$$x \equiv y \Leftrightarrow St(y) = aSt(x)a^{-1}$$
 and  $Z(y) = Z(x)a^{-1}$  for some  $a \in Z(x)$ .

For every  $x \in P$ , let  $\bar{x}$  denote the  $\equiv$ -class containing x and let  $C(\bar{x}) = \bigcup_{y \in \bar{x}} C(y)$ . Then whenever  $y \in \bar{x}$  and  $(\chi_0, \chi_1) \in C(y)$ ,

$$[(\chi_0,\chi_1)]_e \subseteq C(\bar{x}), \ |[(\chi_0,\chi_1)]_e| = \frac{|Z(x)|}{|St(x)|} \text{ and } |[(\chi_0,\chi_1)]| = \frac{|G|}{|St(x)|}.$$

It follows that

$$C(\bar{x})/\sim | = \frac{|St(x)||C(\bar{x})|}{|Z(x)|} = \sum_{y\in\bar{x}} \frac{|St(y)||C(y)|}{|Z(y)|}$$

and the number of pairs equivalent to pairs from  $C(\bar{x})$  is

$$|C(\bar{x})/\sim |\cdot \frac{|G|}{|St(x)|} = |G| \sum_{y\in\bar{x}} \frac{|C(y)|}{|Z(y)|}.$$

Consequently, the number of all symmetric pairs of r-colorings of G is

$$|G|\sum_{y\in P}\frac{|C(y)|}{|Z(y)|}.$$

Now to compute |C(y)|, note that

$$\sum_{y \le x} |C(x)| = r^{2|y|}.$$

Then applying Möbius inversion (see [1, IV, §2]) gives us that

$$|C(y)| = \sum_{y \le x} \mu(y, x) r^{2|x|}$$

Finally, we obtain that the number of symmetric pairs of r-colorings of G is

$$|G|\sum_{y\in P}\sum_{y\leq x}\frac{\mu(y,x)}{|Z(y)|}r^{2|x|} = |G|\sum_{x\in P}\sum_{y\leq x}\frac{\mu(y,x)}{|Z(y)|}r^{2|x|}.$$

www.ejgta.org

Theorem 1.1 is immediate from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 2.1.

*Remark* 3.1. The proof of Theorem 3.1 shows also that the number  $s_r^2(G)$  of equivalence classes of symmetric pairs of r-colorings of G is

$$\sum_{x \in P} \sum_{y \le x} \frac{\mu(y, x) St(y)}{|Z(y)|} r^{2|x|}.$$

Consequently, if g(r) is the polynomial representing  $s_r(G)$ , then  $s_r^2(G) = g(r^2)$ . However, in contrast to Corollary 3.1,  $s_r(G \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is not equal to  $s_r^2(G)$ . For example,  $s_r(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) = \frac{1}{2}r^4 + \frac{1}{2}r^2$ ,  $s_r(\mathbb{Z}_3) = r^2$ , and  $s_r^2(\mathbb{Z}_3) = r^4$ .

# References

- [1] M. Aigner, *Combinatorial Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1979.
- [2] E. Bender and J. Goldman, On the applications of Möbius inversion in combinatorial analysis, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **82** (1975), 789–803.
- [3] Y. Gryshko (Yu. Zelenyuk), Symmetric colorings of regular polygons, *Ars. Combinatoria* **78** (2006), 277–281.
- [4] C. Jayawardene, E.T. Baskoro, L. Samarasekara, and S. Sy, Size multipartite Ramsey numbers for stripes versus small cycles, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.* 4 (2016), 157–170.
- [5] O. Loos, Symmetric Spaces, Benjamin: New York, NY, USA, 1969.
- [6] Yu. Zelenyuk, Symmetric colorings of finite groups, Proceedings of Groups St Andrews 2009, Bath, *LMS Lecture Note Series* 388 (2011), 580–590.
- [7] J. Phakathi, W. Toko, Ye. Zelenyuk, and Yu. Zelenyuk Symmetric colorings of the dihedral group, *Communications in Algebra* **46** (2018), 1554–1559.
- [8] J. Phakathi, S. Singh, Ye. Zelenyuk, and Yu. Zelenyuk, Counting symmetric colorings of  $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications* **18** (10) (2019), 1–7.