

Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications

On *d*-antimagic labelings of plane graphs

Martin Bača^a, Ljiljana Brankovic^b, Marcela Lascsáková^a, Oudone Phanalasy^c, Andrea Semaničová–Feňovčíková^a

^aDepartment of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Technical University, Košice, Slovak Republic ^bSchool of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, The University of Newcatle, Australia ^cDepartment of Mathematics, National University of Laos, Vientiane, Laos

martin.baca@tuke.sk, ljiljana.brankovic@newcastle.edu.au, marcela.lascsakova@tuke.sk, oudone.phanalasy@newcastle.edu.au, andrea.fenovcikova@tuke.sk

Abstract

The paper deals with the problem of labeling the vertices and edges of a plane graph in such a way that the labels of the vertices and edges surrounding that face add up to a weight of that face. A labeling of a plane graph is called *d*-antimagic if for every positive integer s, the *s*-sided face weights form an arithmetic progression with a difference d. Such a labeling is called *super* if the smallest possible labels appear on the vertices.

In the paper we examine the existence of such labelings for several families of plane graphs.

Keywords: plane graph, *d*-antimagic labeling, super *d*-antimagic labeling Mathematics Subject Classification : 05C78

1. Introduction

Let G = (V, E, F) be a finite connected plane graph without loops and multiple edges, where V, E and F are its vertex set, edge set and face set, respectively. Let |V(G)| = p, |E(G)| = q and |F(G)| = r be the number of the vertices, the edges and the faces, respectively.

A labeling of type (1, 1, 1) assigns labels from the set $\{1, 2, ..., p+q+r\}$ to the vertices, edges and faces of a plane graph G in such a way that each vertex, edge and face receives exactly one label and each number is used exactly once as a label.

Received: 31 March 2011, Revised: 28 July 2011, Accepted: 21 November 2012.

A labeling of type (1, 1, 0) is a bijection from the set $\{1, 2, ..., p+q\}$ to the vertices and edges of a graph G.

The *weight of a face* under a labeling is the sum of labels (if present) carried by that face and the edges and vertices on its boundary.

A labeling of a plane graph G is called *d*-antimagic if for every positive integer s the set of s-sided face weights is $W_s = \{a_s, a_s + d, a_s + 2d, \dots, a_s + (r_s - 1)d\}$ for some integers a_s and $d \ge 0$, where r_s is the number of s-sided faces. We allow different sets W_s for different s.

If d = 0 then Ko-Wei Lih in [16] called such labeling *magic*. Ko-Wei Lih [16] described magic (0-antimagic) labelings of type (1, 1, 0) for the wheels, the friendship graphs and the prisms. The magic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for the grid graphs and the honeycomb are given in [2] and [3], respectively.

The concept of the *d*-antimagic labeling of the plane graphs was defined in [10], where it was also proved that the prism D_n has *d*-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for $d \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The *d*-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for the hexagonal planar maps, the generalized Petersen graph P(n, 2) and the grids can be found in [5], [7] and [8], respectively. Lin *et al.* in [17] showed that prism D_n , $n \geq 3$, admits *d*-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for D_n and for several $d \geq 7$ are described in [19].

A *d*-antimagic labeling is called *super* if the smallest possible labels appear on the vertices. The super *d*-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for antiprisms and for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ are described in [4], and for disjoint union of prisms and for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ are given in [1]. The existence of super *d*-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for disconnected plane graphs and for plane graphs containing a special Hamilton path is examined in [6] and [12], respectively.

In this paper we examine the existence of super d-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 0) for several families of plane graphs. To label the vertices and edges of plane graphs we will use an edge-antimagic vertex labeling and an edge-antimagic total labeling.

Simanjuntak, Bertault and Miller in [18] define an (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling of a (p,q)-graph G = (V, E) as an injective mapping $\beta : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ such that the set of edge-weights $\{\beta(u) + \beta(v) : uv \in E(G)\}$ is $\{a, a + d, a + 2d, ..., a + (q - 1)d\}$ for two non-negative integers a and d. A bijection $\alpha : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., p+q\}$ is called an (a, d)edge-antimagic total labeling of G if the edge-weights $\{\alpha(u) + \alpha(uv) + \alpha(v) : uv \in E(G)\}$ form an arithmetic sequence starting at a and having a common difference d, where a > 0 and $d \ge 0$ are two fixed integers. An (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling is a natural extension of a notion of magic valuation defined by Kotzig and Rosa in [15].

An (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling is called *super* if the smallest possible labels appear on the vertices. A super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling is a natural extension of a notion of *super* edge-magic labeling defined by Enomoto et al. in [13].

More comprehensive information on magic valuations and (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings can be found in [11], [14] and [20], respectively.

2. Edge-antimagic labelings of paths

Let P_n be the path on n vertices. It is known (see [9]), that P_n is super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total if and only if $d \leq 3$. We denote the vertices of P_n by v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n and describe these labelings $\alpha_d^n : V(P_n) \cup E(P_n) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n - 1\}$ in the following way.

a) The super $(2n + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1, 0)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling α_0^n of P_n :

$$\alpha_0^n(v_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{i+1}{2} & \text{for} \quad i \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } 1 \le i \le n, \\ \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + \frac{i}{2} & \text{for} \quad i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } 2 \le i \le n, \end{cases}$$
$$\alpha_0^n(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2n - i & \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

The common weight for all edges of P_n is

$$w_{\alpha_0^n}(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2n + \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1 = C_{\alpha,0}^n, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

b) The super (2n+2,1)-edge-antimagic total labeling α_1^n of P_n :

$$\alpha_1^n(v_i) = i$$
for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$,
 $\alpha_1^n(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2n - i$
for $i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$.

The set of edge-weights of P_n consists of the consecutive integers

$$\{w_{\alpha_1^n}(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2n + 1 + i = C_{\alpha,1}^n + i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

c) The super $\left(n + \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 3, 2\right)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling α_2^n of P_n :

$$\alpha_2^n(v_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{i+1}{2} & \text{for } i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + \frac{i}{2} & \text{for } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$
$$\alpha_2^n(v_i v_{i+1}) = n + i & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

The edge-weights of P_n constitute the arithmetic progression of difference 2:

$$\{w_{\alpha_2^n}(v_iv_{i+1}) = n + \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1 + 2i = C_{\alpha,2}^n + 2i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

d) The super (n + 4, 3)-edge-antimagic total labeling α_3^n of P_n :

$$\alpha_3^n(v_i) = i$$
 for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$,
 $\alpha_3^n(v_i v_{i+1}) = n + i$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$.

The edge-weights of P_n constitute the arithmetic progression of difference 3:

$$\{w_{\alpha_3^n}(v_iv_{i+1}) = n+1+3i = C_{\alpha,3}^n + 3i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Now, we define the super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings of P_n also for negative differences d in the following way

$$\alpha_{-k}^{n}(v_{i}) = \alpha_{k}^{n}(v_{n+1-i}) \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\alpha_{-k}^{n}(v_{i}v_{i+1}) = \alpha_{k}^{n}(v_{n+1-i}v_{n-i}) \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

where k = 0, 1, 2, 3.

In this paper we will use also (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labelings of P_n for two differences d = 1 and d = 2. These labelings $\beta_d^n : V(P_n) \to \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ we define in the following way:

e) The $\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2}\right\rceil + 2, 1\right)$ -edge-antimagic vertex labeling β_1^n of P_n :

$$\beta_1^n(v_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{i+1}{2} & \text{for } i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + \frac{i}{2} & \text{for } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

The set of edge-weights of P_n consists of the consecutive integers

$$\{w_{\beta_1^n}(v_i v_{i+1}) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1 + i = C_{\beta,1}^n + i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$$

f) The (3,2)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling β_2^n of P_n :

$$\beta_2^n(v_i) = i$$
 for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

The edge-weights of P_n constitute the arithmetic progression of difference 2:

$$\{w_{\beta_2^n}(v_i v_{i+1}) = 1 + 2i = C_{\beta,2}^n + 2i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

The (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labelings of P_n for d negative we define as follows

$$\beta_{-l}^{n}(v_{i}) = \beta_{l}^{n}(v_{n+1-i})$$
 for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$,

where l = 1, 2.

3. Partitions with determined differences

For construction of vertex and edge labelings of plane graphs we will use the partitions of a set of integers with determined differences.

Let n, k, d and i be positive integers. We will consider the partition $\mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n$ of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, kn\}$ into $n, n \geq 2$, k-tuples such that the difference between the sum of the numbers in the (i+1)th k-tuple and the sum of the numbers in the ith k-tuple is always equal to the constant d, where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Thus they form an arithmetic sequence with the difference d. By the symbol $\mathcal{P}_{k,d}(i)$ we denote the ith k-tuple in the partition with the difference d, where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Let $\sum \mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n(i)$ be the sum of the numbers in $\mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n(i)$. Evidently $\sum \mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n(i+1) - \sum \mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n(i) = d$. It is obvious that if there exists a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, kn\}$ with the difference d, there also exists a partition with the difference -d. By the notation $\mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n(i) \oplus c$ we mean that we add the constant c to every number in $\mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n(i)$.

If k = 1 then only the following partition of the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is possible

$$\mathcal{P}_{1,1}^n(i) = \{i\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

If k = 2 then we have several partitions of the set $\{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$. Let us define the partitions into 2-tuples in the following way:

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,0}^{n}(i) = \{i, 2n + 1 - i\},$$

$$\sum \mathcal{P}_{2,0}^{n}(i) = 2n + 1,$$
 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,2}^{n}(i) = \{i, n + i\},$$

$$\sum \mathcal{P}_{2,2}^{n}(i) = n + 2i,$$
 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,4}^{n}(i) = \{2i - 1, 2i\},$$

$$\sum \mathcal{P}_{2,4}^{n}(i) = 4i - 1,$$
 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

Moreover, for $3 \le n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,1}^{n}(i) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{i-1}{2}, n+1 + \frac{i-1}{2}\} & \text{for} \quad i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \{\frac{i}{2}, n + \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}\} & \text{for} \quad i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$
$$\sum \mathcal{P}_{2,1}^{n}(i) = n + \frac{n+1}{2} + i, & \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Note that we are also able to obtain the partitions into 2-tuples $\mathcal{P}_{2,0}^n(i)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2,2}^n(i)$ as $\mathcal{P}_{1,s}^n(i) \cup (\mathcal{P}_{1,t}^n(i) \oplus n)$, where $s, t = \pm 1$. We can use this idea to construct the other partitions. More precisely,

$$\mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n(i) = \mathcal{P}_{l,s}^n(i) \cup \left(\mathcal{P}_{m,t}^n(i) \oplus ln\right),\,$$

where k = l + m.

For example, we are able to obtain $\mathcal{P}_{3,d}^n(i)$ from the partitions $\mathcal{P}_{1,s}^n(i)$, $s = \pm 1$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2,t}^n(i)$, $t = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4$ and also $t = \pm 1$ for n odd. It means, $\mathcal{P}_{3,d}^n$ exists for $d = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5$ and if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also for $d = 0, \pm 2$. Moreover, we are able to construct $\mathcal{P}_{3,9}^n$ in the following way

$$\mathcal{P}_{3,9}^n(i) = \{3(i-1)+1, 3(i-1)+2, 3(i-1)+3\},\$$

$$\sum \mathcal{P}_{3,9}^n(i) = 9i-3, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus $\mathcal{P}_{3,d}^n$ exists for $d = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 9$ and if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also for $d = 0, \pm 2$.

For the partition into 4-tuples we can use the following fact

$$\mathcal{P}_{4,d}^n(i) = \mathcal{P}_{l,s}^n(i) \cup \left(\mathcal{P}_{m,t}^n(i) \oplus ln\right),$$

where l = 3, m = 1 or l = 2, m = 2. Also

$$\mathcal{P}_{4,16}^{n}(i) = \{4(i-1)+1, 4(i-1)+2, 4(i-1)+3, 4(i-1)+4\}, \\ \sum \mathcal{P}_{4,16}^{n}(i) = 16i-6, \quad \text{for} \quad i=1,2,\ldots,n.$$

Thus $\mathcal{P}_{4,d}^n$ exists for $d = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 8, \pm 10, \pm 16$ and if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also for $d = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5$.

Let us note that each of the defined partition $\mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n$ has the property that

$$\sum \mathcal{P}_{k,d}^n(i) = C_{k,d}^n + di,$$

where $C_{k,d}^n$ is a constant depending on the parameters k and d.

4. *d*-antimagic labelings for certain families of plane graphs

In this section, we shall use the edge-antimagic labelings of paths P_n and the partitions of the set $\{1, 2, ..., kn\}$ with determined differences described in the previous two sections to examine the existence of a super *d*-antimagic labeling for several families of plane graphs.

The friendship graph F_n is a set of n triangles having a common central vertex, say v, and otherwise disjoint. The friendship graph F_n has 2n vertices of degree 2, say v_i, u_i for i = 1, 2, ..., n, and 3n edges, say v_iv, u_iv, v_iu_i for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Let us define the 3-sided face $f_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$, as the face bounded by the edges vv_i, v_iu_i and u_iv and let f be the external unbounded face.

Theorem 4.1. The friendship graph F_n , $n \ge 2$, has a super d-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 0) for $d \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13\}$.

Moreover, if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ then the graph F_n also admits a super d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,0) for $d \in \{0,2,4,6,8,10\}$.

Proof. We define the bijection $g_1: V(F_n) \cup E(F_n) \to \{1, 2, \dots, 5n+1\}$ as follows:

$$\{g_1(v_i), g_1(u_i)\} = \mathcal{P}_{2,k}^n(i), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$g_1(v) = 2n + 1,$$

$$\{g_1(v_iv), g_1(v_iu_i), g_1(u_iv)\} = \mathcal{P}_{3,l}^n(i) \oplus (2n + 1) \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $k = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4$ or for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also $k = \pm 1$, and $l = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 9$ or for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also $l = 0, \pm 2$.

It is not difficult to check that the vertices are labeled by the smallest possible numbers 1, 2, ..., 2n + 1. Moreover, for the weight of the face $f_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$, we obtain

$$w_{g_1}(f_i) = (g_1(v_i) + g_1(u_i)) + g_1(v) + (g_1(v_iv) + g_1(v_iu_i) + g_1(u_iv))$$

= $\sum \mathcal{P}_{2,k}^n(i) + (2n+1) + \sum (\mathcal{P}_{3,l}^n(i) \oplus (2n+1))$
= $(C_{2,k}^n + ki) + (2n+1) + (C_{3,l}^n + li + 3(2n+1))$
= $C_{2,k}^n + C_{3,l}^n + 4(2n+1) + (k+l)i.$

www.ejgta.org

As $k = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4$ or for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also $k = \pm 1$, and $l = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 9$ or for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also $l = 0, \pm 2$, we obtain that $k + l \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13\}$ and for n odd we also get $k + l \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$. Thus under the labeling g_1 the weights of the 3-sided faces form an arithmetic sequence with the desired difference, which completes the proof.

If we replace every edge $v_i u_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, of the friendship graph F_n by a path of length two with vertices v_i, w_i, u_i , then we obtain a graph, say B_n , with the vertex set $V(B_n) = \{v_i, w_i, u_i, v : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ and the edge set $E(B_n) = \{v_i v, u_i v, v_i w_i, w_i u_i : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$. Let us define the 4-sided face f_i , i = 1, 2, ..., n, as the face bounded by the edges vv_i, v_iw_i, w_iu_i and u_iv and let f be the external unbounded face.

Theorem 4.2. The graph B_n , $n \ge 2$, has a super d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,0) for $d \in \{1,3,5,\ldots,21,25\}$. Moreover, if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ then the graph B_n also admits a super d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,0) for $d \in \{0,2,4,\ldots,18\}$.

Proof. We define the bijection $g_2: V(B_n) \cup E(B_n) \to \{1, 2, \dots, 7n+1\}$ in the following way:

It is not difficult to see that the vertices are labeled by the numbers 1, 2, ..., 3n + 1. Moreover, for the weight of the face f_i , i = 1, 2, ..., n, we have

$$w_{g_2}(f_i) = (g_2(v_i) + g_2(w_i) + g_2(u_i)) + g_2(v) + (g_2(v_iv) + g_2(v_iw_i) + g_2(w_iu_i) + g_2(u_iv)) = \sum \mathcal{P}_{3,k}^n(i) + (3n+1) + \sum (\mathcal{P}_{4,l}^n(i) \oplus (3n+1)) = (C_{3,k}^n + ki) + (3n+1) + (C_{4,l}^n + li + 4(3n+1)) = C_{3,k}^n + C_{4,l}^n + 5(3n+1) + (k+l)i,$$

where $k = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 9$ or for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also $k = 0, \pm 2$, and $l = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 8, \pm 10, \pm 16$ or for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also $l = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5$. It means that g_2 is a super *d*-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 0) of B_n , for d = 1, 3, 5, ..., 21, 25 and if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ then d = 0, 2, 4, ..., 18.

A triangular snake E_n is a triangular cactus whose block-cutpoint graph is a path, i.e. E_n is obtained from a path $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+1}$ by joining v_i and v_{i+1} to a new vertex u_i , for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Let f_i be the 3-sided face, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, bounded by the edges $v_i u_i, u_i v_{i+1}$ and $v_i v_{i+1}$. We denote the external unbounded face by the symbol f.

Theorem 4.3. The graph E_n , $n \ge 2$, has a super d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,0) for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., 12\}$.

Proof. Define the bijection $g_3: V(E_n) \cup E(E_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 5n+1\}$ as follows:

$$g_{3}(v_{i}) = \alpha_{k}^{n+1}(v_{i}), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1,$$

$$g_{3}(u_{i}) = \alpha_{k}^{n+1}(v_{i}v_{i+1}), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\{g_{3}(v_{i}u_{i}), g_{3}(u_{i}v_{i+1}), g_{3}(v_{i+1}v_{i})\} = \mathcal{P}_{3,l}^{n}(i) \oplus (2n+1), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The labeling g_3 assigns the numbers 1, 2, ..., 2n + 1 to the vertices of the graph E_n . For the weight of the face f_i , i = 1, 2, ..., n, we have

$$\begin{split} w_{g_3}(f_i) &= \left(g_3(v_i) + g_3(u_i) + g_3(v_{i+1})\right) + \left(g_3(v_iu_i) + g_3(u_iv_{i+1}) + g_3(v_{i+1}v_i)\right) \\ &= w_{\alpha_k^{n+1}}(v_iv_{i+1}) + \sum \mathcal{P}_{3,l}^n(i) \oplus (2n+1) \\ &= \left(C_{\alpha,k}^{n+1} + ki\right) + \left(C_{3,l}^n + li + 3(2n+1)\right) \\ &= C_{\alpha,k}^{n+1} + C_{3,l}^n + 3(2n+1) + (k+l)i, \end{split}$$

where $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$ and $l = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 9$, moreover for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ also $l = 0, \pm 2$. Analogously as in the proof of the previous theorem we obtain that for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 12\}$ the bijection g_3 is a super *d*-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 0) of the graph E_n .

If we replace every edge $v_i v_{i+1}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, of the triangular snake E_n by a path of length two with vertices v_i, w_i, v_{i+1} , then we obtain a graph, say G_n , with the vertex set $V(G_n) =$ $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n+1}, u_1, u_2, ..., u_n, w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}$ and the edge set $E(G_n) = \{v_i u_i, u_i v_{i+1}, v_i w_i, w_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$. Let us define the 4-sided face $f_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$, as the face bounded by the edges $v_i u_i, u_i v_{i+1}, v_i w_i$ and $w_i v_{i+1}$ and let f be the external unbounded face.

Theorem 4.4. The graph G_n , $n \ge 2$, has a super d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,0) for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 22\}$.

Proof. Define the bijection $g_4: V(G_n) \cup E(G_n) \to \{1, 2, \dots, 7n+1\}$ in the following way:

$$g_4(v_i) = \beta_k^{n+1}(v_i), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1, \{g_4(u_i), g_4(w_i)\} = \mathcal{P}_{2,l}^n(i) \oplus (n+1), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \{g_4(v_iu_i), g_4(u_iv_{i+1}), g_4(v_iw_i), g_4(w_iv_{i+1})\} = \mathcal{P}_{4,m}^n(i) \oplus (3n+1), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

It is easy to verify that the labeling g_4 assigns integers 1, 2, ..., 3n + 1 to the vertices. By direct computation we obtain that the weight of the face f_i , i = 1, 2, ..., n, admits a value

$$w_{g_4}(f_i) = (g_4(v_i) + g_4(v_{i+1})) + (g_4(u_i) + g_4(w_i)) + (g_4(v_iu_i) + g_4(u_iv_{i+1}) + g_4(v_iw_i) + g_4(w_iv_{i+1})) = w_{\beta_k^{n+1}}(v_iv_{i+1}) + \sum \mathcal{P}_{2,l}^n(i) \oplus (n+1) + \sum \mathcal{P}_{4,m}^n(i) \oplus (3n+1) = (C_{\beta,k}^{n+1} + ki) + (C_{2,l}^n + li + 2(n+1)) + (C_{4,m}^n + mi + 4(3n+1)) = C_{\beta,k}^{n+1} + C_{2,l}^n + C_{4,m}^n + 14n + 6 + (k+l+m)i,$$

www.ejgta.org

where $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, l = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4$ and $m = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 8, \pm 10, \pm 16$. After some manipulations we obtain that there exists a super *d*-antimagic labeling of the graph G_n for every difference $d \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 22\}$.

Let ladder L_n be a Cartesian product $L_n \simeq P_n \times P_2$ of a path on n vertices with a path on two vertices. Let $V(L_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ be the vertex set and $E(L_n) = \{v_i v_{i+1}, u_i u_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\} \cup \{v_i u_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ be the edge set of ladder. Let us define the 4-sided face f_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, as the face bounded by the edges $v_i v_{i+1}, v_{i+1} u_{i+1}, u_i u_{i+1}$ and $v_i u_i$ and let f be the external unbounded face.

Theorem 4.5. The ladder $L_n \simeq P_n \times P_2$, $n \ge 3$, admits a super d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,0) for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., 10\}$.

Proof. Construct the bijective function $g_5: V(L_n) \cup E(L_n) \to \{1, 2, \dots, 5n-2\}$ as follows:

$$g_{5}(v_{i}) = \beta_{k}^{n}(v_{i}), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$g_{5}(u_{i}) = \beta_{l}^{n}(v_{i}) + n, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$g_{5}(v_{i}u_{i}) = \beta_{m}^{n}(v_{i}) + 2n, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\{g_{5}(v_{i}v_{i+1}), g_{5}(u_{i}u_{i+1})\} = \mathcal{P}_{2,t}^{n-1}(i) \oplus (3n), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

It is a routine procedure to verify that the vertices are labeled by the smallest possible numbers 1, 2, ..., 2n. Moreover, for the weight of the face $f_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} w_{g_5}(f_i) &= \left(g_5(v_i) + g_5(v_{i+1})\right) + \left(g_5(u_i) + g_5(u_{i+1})\right) + \left(g_5(v_iu_i) + g_5(v_{i+1}u_{i+1})\right) \\ &+ \left(g_5(v_iv_{i+1}) + g_5(u_iu_{i+1})\right) \\ &= w_{\beta_k^n}(v_iv_{i+1}) + \left(w_{\beta_l^n}(v_iv_{i+1}) + 2n\right) + \left(w_{\beta_m^n}(v_iv_{i+1}) + 4n\right) \\ &+ \sum \mathcal{P}_{2,t}^{n-1}(i) \oplus (3n) \\ &= \left(C_{\beta,k}^n + ki\right) + \left(C_{\beta,l}^n + li + 2n\right) + \left(C_{\beta,m}^n + mi + 4n\right) \\ &+ \left(C_{2,t}^{n-1} + ti + 6n\right) \\ &= C_{\beta,k}^n + C_{\beta,l}^n + C_{\beta,m}^n + C_{2,t}^{n-1} + 12n + (k+l+m+t)i. \end{split}$$

As $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, l = \pm 1, \pm 2, m = \pm 1, \pm 2$ and $t = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4$ we obtain that $k + l + m + t \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 10\}$. This completes the proof.

Another variation of a ladder graph is specified as follows. A *ladder* \mathbb{L}_n , $n \ge 2$, is a graph obtained by completing the ladder $L_n \simeq P_n \times P_2$ by n-1 edges such that $V(\mathbb{L}_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n}\}$ is the vertex set and $E(\mathbb{L}_n) = \{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{2n-1}v_{2n}, v_1v_3, v_2v_4, \ldots, v_{2n-2}v_{2n}\}$ is the edge set.

Theorem 4.6. The graph \mathbb{L}_n , $n \geq 2$, admits a super d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,0) for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 6\}$.

Proof. Construct the bijective function $g_6: V(\mathbb{L}_n) \cup E(\mathbb{L}_n) \to \{1, 2, \dots, 6n-1\}$ in the following way:

$$g_{6}(v_{i}) = i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n,$$

$$g_{6}(v_{i}v_{i+1}) = \beta_{k}^{2n-1}(v_{i}) + 2n, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1,$$

$$g_{6}(v_{i}v_{i+2}) = \mathcal{P}_{1,l}^{2n-2}(i) \oplus (4n-1), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-2.$$

The reader can easily verify that the labeling g_6 assigns integers 1, 2, ..., 2n to the vertices and a weight of the face f_i , i = 1, 2, ..., 2n - 2, is

$$w_{g_6}(f_i) = (g_6(v_i) + g_6(v_{i+1}) + g_6(v_{i+2})) + (g_6(v_iv_{i+1}) + g_6(v_{i+1}v_{i+2})) + g_6(v_iv_{i+2}) = (i + (i + 1) + (i + 2)) + (w_{\beta_k}^{2n-1}(v_iv_{i+1}) + 4n) + \sum \mathcal{P}_{1,l}^{2n-2}(i) \oplus (4n - 1) = (3 + 3i) + (C_{\beta,k}^{2n-1} + ki + 4n) + (C_{1,l}^{2n-2} + li + (4n - 1)) = C_{\beta,k}^{2n-1} + C_{1,l}^{2n-2} + 8n + 2 + (3 + k + l)i.$$

Since $k = \pm 1, \pm 2$, and $l = \pm 1$ we are able to show that $3 + k + l \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 6\}$. This implies that the labeling g_6 is a super *d*-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 0) for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ of the graph \mathbb{L}_n .

If we replace every edge $v_i v_{i+1}$ (respectively, every edge $u_i u_{i+1}$), i = 1, 2, ..., n-1, of the ladder $L_n \simeq P_n \times P_2$ by a path of length two with vertices v_i, w_i, v_{i+1} (respectively, u_i, w_{n-1+i}, u_{i+1}) then we obtain a graph, say H_n , with the vertex set $V(H_n) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n, u_1, u_2, ..., u_n, w_1, w_2, ..., w_{2n-2}\}$ and the edge set $E(H_n) = \{v_i w_i, w_i v_{i+1}, u_i w_{n-1+i}, w_{n-1+i} u_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, ..., n-1\} \cup \{v_i u_i : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}.$

Let us define the 6-sided face f_i , i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, as the face bounded by the edges $v_i w_i$, $w_i v_{i+1}, v_{i+1} u_{i+1}, u_{i+1} w_{n-1+i}, w_{n-1+i} u_i, u_i v_i$ and let f be the external unbounded face.

Theorem 4.7. The graph H_n , $n \ge 2$, admits a super d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,0) for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 26\}$.

Proof. We define the bijection $g_7: V(H_n) \cup E(H_n) \to \{1, 2, \dots, 9n-2\}$ in the following way:

$$g_{7}(v_{i}) = \beta_{k}^{n}(v_{i}), \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n, g_{7}(u_{i}) = \beta_{l}^{n}(v_{i}), \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \{g_{7}(w_{i}), g_{7}(w_{n-1+i})\} = \mathcal{P}_{2,m}^{n-1}(i) \oplus (2n), \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n, g_{7}(v_{i}u_{i}) = \beta_{t}^{n}(v_{i}) + 4n - 2, \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \{g_{7}(v_{i}w_{i}), g_{7}(w_{i}v_{i+1}), g_{7}(u_{i}w_{n-1+i}), g_{7}(w_{n-1+i}u_{i+1})\} = \mathcal{P}_{4,s}^{n-1}(i) \oplus (5n - 2), \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1.$$

It is easy to see that the vertices of H_n are labeled by the smallest possible integers 1, 2, ..., 4n - 2. For the weight of the face $f_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$, we get

$$\begin{split} w_{g_7}(f_i) &= \left(g_7(v_i) + g_7(v_{i+1})\right) + \left(g_7(u_i) + g_7(u_{i+1})\right) + \left(g_7(w_i) + g_7(w_{n-1+i})\right) \\ &+ \left(g_7(v_iu_i) + g_7(v_{i+1}u_{i+1})\right) + \left(g_7(v_iw_i) + g_7(w_iv_{i+1}) + g_7(u_iw_{n-1+i})\right) \\ &= w_{\beta_k^n}(v_iv_{i+1}) + \left(w_{\beta_l^n}(v_iv_{i+1}) + 2n\right) + \sum \mathcal{P}_{2,m}^{n-1}(i) \oplus (2n) \\ &+ \left(w_{\beta_t}^n(v_iv_{i+1}) + 8n - 4\right) + \sum \mathcal{P}_{4,s}^{n-1}(i) \oplus (5n - 2) \\ &= \left(C_{\beta,k}^n + ki\right) + \left(C_{\beta,l}^n + li + 2n\right) + \left(C_{2,m}^{n-1} + mi + 2(2n)\right) \\ &+ \left(C_{\beta,t}^n + ti + 8n - 4\right) + \left(C_{4,s}^{n-1} + si + 4(5n - 2)\right) \\ &= C_{\beta,k}^n + C_{\beta,l}^n + C_{\beta,t}^n + C_{2,m}^{n-1} + C_{4,s}^{n-1} + 34n - 12 + (k + l + m + t + s)i. \end{split}$$

Since $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, l = \pm 1, \pm 2, m = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, t = \pm 1, \pm 2$ and $s = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 8, \pm 10, \pm 16$ we get that $k + l + m + t + s \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 26\}$. Thus g_7 is the required super *d*-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 0) of the graph H_n . This completes the proof.

5. Concluding Remarks

In the foregoing section we studied the super *d*-antimagic labelings for the seven families of plane graphs. We have shown that there exist super *d*-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 0) for these graphs for wide variety of difference *d*. We conclude with the following open problems.

Problem 1. Find the upper bound for the feasible values of the difference d which makes a super d-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 0) possible for the studied families of plane graphs.

Problem 2. Find other feasible values of the difference d and the corresponding super d-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 0) for the studied families of plane graphs.

Acknowledgement

The work was supported by Slovak VEGA Grant 1/0130/12.

- [1] G. Ali, M. Bača, F. Bashir and A. Semaničová-Feňovčíková, On face antimagic labelings of disjoint union of prisms, *Utilitas Math.* **85** (2011), 97–112.
- [2] M. Bača, On magic labelings of grid graphs, Ars Combin. 33 (1992), 295–299.
- [3] M. Bača, On magic labelings of honeycomb, Discrete Math. 105 (1992), 305–311.
- [4] M. Bača, F. Bashir and A. Semaničová, Face antimagic labelings of antiprisms, *Utilitas Math.* 84 (2011), 209–224.
- [5] M. Bača, E.T. Baskoro, S. Jendrol and M. Miller, Antimagic labelings of hexagonal planar maps, *Utilitas Math.* 66 (2004), 231–238.

- [6] M. Bača, L. Brankovic and A. Semaničová-Feňovčíková, Labelings of plane graphs containing Hamilton path, Acta Math. Sinica - English Series 27 (4) (2011), 701–714.
- [7] M. Bača, S. Jendrol, M. Miller and J. Ryan, Antimagic labelings of generalized Petersen graphs that are plane, *Ars Combin.* **73** (2004), 115–128.
- [8] M. Bača, Y. Lin and M. Miller, Antimagic labelings of grids, Utilitas Math. 72 (2007), 65–75.
- [9] M. Bača, Y. Lin, F.A. Muntaner-Batle, Super edge-antimagic labelings of the path-like trees, *Utilitas Math.* **73** (2007), 117–128.
- [10] M. Bača and M. Miller, On *d*-antimagic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for prisms, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 44 (2003), 199–207.
- [11] M. Bača and M. Miller, *Super Edge-Antimagic Graphs: A Wealth of Problems and Some Solutions*, BrownWalker Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2008.
- [12] M. Bača, M. Miller, O. Phanalasy and A. Semaničová-Feňovčíková, Super d-antimagic labelings of disconnected plane graphs, *Acta Math. Sinica - English Series* 26 (12) (2010), 2283–2294.
- [13] H. Enomoto, A.S. Lladó, T. Nakamigawa and G. Ringel, Super edge-magic graphs, SUT J. Math. 34 (1998), 105–109.
- [14] J. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling. The Electronic J. Combin. 17 (2010), #DS6.
- [15] A. Kotzig and A. Rosa, Magic valuations of finite graphs, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **13** (1970), 451–461.
- [16] Ko-Wei Lih, On magic and consecutive labelings of plane graphs, *Utilitas Math.* **24** (1983), 165–197.
- [17] Y. Lin, Slamin, M. Bača and M. Miller, On d-antimagic labelings of prisms, Ars Combin. 72 (2004), 65–76.
- [18] R. Simanjuntak, F. Bertault and M. Miller, Two new (*a*, *d*)-antimagic graph labelings. *Proc.* of Eleventh Australasian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms (2000), 179–189.
- [19] K.A. Sugeng, M. Miller, Y. Lin and M. Bača, Face antimagic labelings of prisms, *Utilitas Math.* 71 (2006), 269–286.
- [20] W.D. Wallis, Magic Graphs, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin, 2001.